

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/516,083		GUICHARD ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	ANDREW D. KOSAR		1654	

All Participants:

(1) ANDREW D. KOSAR

(2) H. James Voeller

Date of Interview: 30 December 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
potential 112

Claims discussed:
all, in general

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Andrew D Kosar/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654

Status of Application: after response to 1st action

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 14:00

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 12/2, the examiner proposed amendments to the claims that would place the application in condition for allowance, including rejoinder of the currently withdrawn method claims (with amendment). Applicant's representative requested consulting with the applicant prior to accepting/denying the proposal. On 12/15, the examiner followed up with Applicant's representative, and was instructed by Robert Madsen that Applicant had proposed amendments for consideration. On 12/30, the examiner discussed the proposed amendment with Mr. Voeller. Concern was raised by the examiner regarding the newly method to treating Chagas' disease, however upon further review of the specification, support was found, thus it was deemed acceptable. As presented in the examiner's amendment, the claims are in allowable form. Agreement was reached.